AUDIT COMMITTEE

MINUTES of a meeting of the Audit Committee held at County Hall, Lewes on 10 July 2020.

++ The meeting was held remotely ++

PRESENT Councillors Colin Swansborough (Chair) Councillors

Gerard Fox (Vice Chair), Matthew Beaver, Martin Clarke,

Philip Daniel, Michael Ensor and Daniel Shing

ALSO PRESENT Russell Banks, Chief Internal Auditor

Nigel Chilcott, Audit Manager

Kevin Foster, Chief Operating Officer Ian Gutsell, Chief Finance Officer Simon White, Anti Fraud Manager

- 1 MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING HELD ON 22 NOVEMBER 2019
- 1.1 RESOLVED to agree the minutes as a correct record.
- 2 <u>APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE</u>
- 2.1 Apologies for absence were received from the Lead Member for Resources Councillor Nick Bennett.
- 3 DISCLOSURES OF INTERESTS
- 3.1 There were none.
- 4 URGENT ITEMS
- 4.1 There were none.
- 5 REPORTS
- 5.1 Reports referred to in the minutes below are contained in the minute book.
- 6 INTERNAL AUDIT ANNUAL REPORT AND OPINION 2019/20 (INCLUDING QUARTER 3 AND 4 PROGRESS REPORT)

6.1 The Committee considered a report by the Chief Internal Auditor, which presented the overall annual opinion of reasonable assurance, and set out a summary of the Internal Audit Team's work during Quarters 3 and 4 of 2019/20. The Audit Manager set out the work undertaken with management in respect of the two pension-related audits, and the reporting done to the Pension Board and Pension Committee. Follow up reviews will also be undertaken. The Audit Manager outlined that 90% of the Audit Plan was completed on schedule and the remainder will be worked through during quarter 1 of 2020/21.

6.2 The Committee asked questions about:

- The Pension Administration audit and the techniques used to produce the assessment, and the risks of over- or under-payment of Fund members
- The reporting of the Pension audits to the Pension Board and Pension Committee
- Atrium, and whether it includes the County's highways
- Social Value in procurement and its promotion to officers
- Managing Back Office Systems and SAP replacement, and whether it is done in partnership within Orbis
- Business Continuity, and whether it had coped with the pandemic
- Deferred items from 2019/20
- Adult Social Care commissioning and where the Council stands in comparison with other authorities.

6.3 Officers and Members responded:

- The Audit Team historically used an approach approved by the Pensions Regulator, but became aware of additional risks. A new Internal Audit Strategy for the Pension Fund was agreed last year to reflect the new risks. The Team also had access to the Pensions administration system which allowed greater interrogation of data. Greater time was spent on the Pension Fund audit work than in previous years, and will continue with an increased number of audit days allocated to the work. A follow up audit will be conducted and reported to the Audit Committee. The Chief Internal Auditor confirmed that the Team's work was focussed on the systems and processes in place, rather than identifying individual cases of over- or under-payment, but there was no indication that members of the Pension Fund did not get the payments they were entitled to.
- Councillor Fox, as Chair of the Pension Committee, confirmed that the
 principal issues of concern were to do with the governance and use of
 data within the Pensions Administration team. Some concerns can be
 addressed with additional software. Officers will strengthen the controls,
 with substantial work being done by the Chief Operating Officer and Chief
 Finance Officer to increase resources for the pension administration
 function of the Fund.. Councillor Fox also highlighted the work of Data
 Improvement Project that had been launched, prior to the audits
 commencing, which addresses some of these issues.

- Atrium is the Council's property asset management system, and does not include the highways assets. However, the Highways Contract reprocurement project is included in the 2020/21 Audit Plan.
- The Council won a national award for its approach to social value in procurement, and is a strong performer in the sector. A key issue is following up with providers that they have achieved the targets set out in the contract. A social value platform has been developed, as has greater integration with the voluntary and community sector.
- The key determinant of success for the Modernising Back Office Systems (MBOS) project will be that the requirements of East Sussex County Council (ESCC) are met. There needs to be a strong engagement process in how to set the system up to meet ESCC's needs. This is not supportive of multiple organisations moving at different paces, although there may be opportunities for integration in the future. The procurement will be a sovereign led issue, to meet the needs and strategic priorities of each authority. Within Orbis consistencies and efficiencies are identified as part of the operating model in areas such as Accounts Payable and Receivable and Recruitment, but these areas are not the driver for having an Orbis-wide system. The same Procurement and IT teams are supporting both ESCC and Surrey County Council (SCC), so there is a commonality of approach to the procurement. The key issue is that the system supports the Council's main service delivery needs and their subsidiary systems. Should each authority choose to procure the same system then opportunities to streamline the administration will be examined, although efficiencies may be limited given the "software as a service" nature of the procurement. The same Audit teams are supporting the projects in Surrey and East Sussex, to maximise the opportunities to share expertise and common issues identified.
- Business Services has held weekly Business Continuity Group meetings, supporting the transformation to remote working, with the infrastructure in place throughout. Performance has improved in areas, with automation of some processes, such Accounts Payable processing 95% of payments within 30 days, and an average payment period of 17 days.
- In Quarter 1 2020/21 the suspended audits were started, so the remainder of the work will be completed shortly.
- The relative performance figures on Adult Social Care (ASC) commissioning will be provided to the Committee.
- 6.4 The Committee RESOLVED to (1) thank the Internal Audit Team for their work during the pandemic;
- (2) note the Internal Audit Service's opinion on the Council's control environment;
- (3) confirm that there are no significant control issues that should be included in the Council's annual governance statement for 2019/20; and

(4) confirm that the Council's system for internal audit has proved effective during 2019/20.

7 ESCC ANTI-FRAUD AND CORRUPTION STRATEGY AND FRAMEWORK

7.1 The Committee considered a report by the Chief Internal Auditor, which presented the refreshed Anti-Fraud and Corruption Strategy and Framework, in line with best practice. The Internal Audit Manager, Counter Fraud introduced the report which sets outs the approach to counter fraud work. The report refreshes the Strategy to take into account changes in regulations, anti-fraud and money laundering activity, and an emphasis on changes in organisational culture.

7.2 The Committee discussed:

- The role of Culture and how it affects the organisation
- Staff leavers and overpayments
- Whistleblowing Policy and raising issues with outside bodies
- Internal powers of investigation, links to the Police and monitoring of social media in support of investigations
- Effect of increased homeworking as a result of the Covid-19 pandemic and impacts of fraud
- E-learning and how to follow up to ensure the lessons are implemented

7.3 Officers responded:

- Efforts to establish zero tolerance to fraud, having robust policies and making sure individuals are aware of the policies and their responsibility to report instances of fraud.
- Payroll is a key part of the annual audit, looking at the controls which should pick up the issues of leavers. The Council also participates in the biannual national fraud initiative which involves payroll matching with other authorities, which allows the authority to pursue overpayments.
- Outside bodies with which a concern could be raised include the External Auditors and Protect (formerly Public Concern at Work). Other sector specific bodies include Ofsted, the Care Quality Commission and the Health & Safety Executive.
- A benefit of the Orbis partnership is a team of investigators who work to PACE
 (Police and Criminal Evidence Act) standards. Investigations have been shared
 with the Police for criminal prosecution if it is considered appropriate. Monitoring
 of social media is done with appropriate RIPA (Regulation of Investigatory
 Powers Act) sign off, but is not commonly used as there are other sources of
 evidence. To reduce the risk of staff being groomed to participate in a fraud

- there is considerable fraud awareness and e-learning made available, together with participation in fraud awareness week in November each year. Greater integration with banks in assisting staff to avoid fraud in their personal lives was suggested.
- A Fraud risk assessment was used to log changes in operational procedures resulting from the pandemic on the risk register, and risks were shared with the Finance team. The log also records changes to business controls as a result of increased homeworking. The Service works on changes to processes and workarounds by way of advice and challenge to Teams, which have been logged to assist with a return to 'Business As Usual' working. Such changes may provide more efficient solutions, but should be reviewed to ensure they are capable of being sustained as a lasting solution. Any changes will undergo a process of assurance. The Audit Plan for 2020/21 is being reviewed to ensure it covers the changes to working practices and the potential increased risk of fraud as a result of the pandemic.
- E-learning and maturity of the organisation in terms of fraud awareness is measured by use of periodic online fraud surveys. The Orbis structure allows comparisons and benchmarking with other organisations to be done. Fraud risk workshops are held with specific teams, to support the e-learning. Councillors can find details of the anti-fraud resources available on the Council's intranet pages.
- 7.4 The Committee RESOLVED to endorse the updated Anti-Fraud and Corruption Strategy and Framework.

8 STRATEGIC RISK MONITORING - QUARTERS 3 AND 4, 2019/20

8.1 The Committee considered a report by the Chief Operating Officer, which presented an update on the current strategic risks faced by the Council and the controls and responses.

8.2 The Committee discussed:

- Cyberattack remains a risk with increased homeworking with staff using their own equipment and systems, and issues of data protection
- Brexit and the implementation of the Political Declaration: should the risk be rewritten and be included, given the risks associated with ports and ferry traffic, the potential disruption to supply chains that may affect Council services
- Covid-19 and the potential for a second wave and response by a local lockdown plans and what that would involve

8.3 Officers responded:

- Data breaches are dealt with by the Data Protection Officer, who reports to the Chief Operating Officer, who coordinates any necessary reporting to the Information Commissioner's Office. There has been no increase in reporting during the pandemic. In terms of staff using their own equipment, access to the Council's systems is controlled by the security associated with the Council's Citrix environment. There are two cybersecurity accredited members of staff (out of circa 1000 people nationally so accredited) who maintain contact with national guidance. There has been a review of information from the civil service as to the key issues to bear in mind.
- Brexit is being kept under review and will be incorporated once there is sufficient information for the risk and mitigations to be quantified.
- Public Health have been working on local lockdown plans based on latest government guidance, and information will be provided to Members. Details of the of the Coronavirus Local Outbreak Plan can be found on the Council's website:
 - (https://www.eastsussex.gov.uk/community/emergencyplanningandcommunitysafety/coronavirus/outbreak-control-plan/).
- 8.4 The Committee RESOLVED to note the current strategic risks and the risk controls/responses being proposed and implemented by Chief Officers, including the inclusion of a new Covid-19 risk. The Committee requested consideration be given to reinstating a redefined Brexit risk on the future relationship with the European Union as there has been no agreement on the implementation of the withdrawal agreement.

9 WORK PROGRAMME

- 9.1 The Committee considered the Work Programme.
- 9.2 The Chief Finance Officer reported that the External Auditors were unable to meet the Council's initial deadline for considering the 2019/20 accounts. He requested that the November meeting be moved to accommodate a revised schedule of meetings.
- 9.3 Councillor Philip Daniel requested an update on Orbis, in the light of Surrey County Council's announcements on becoming a unitary authority, and the Internal Audit of pension administration. The Chief Operating Officer confirmed that the Orbis Leadership Team would be examining the issues and opportunities that such a change in Surrey would promote, and provide a report to a future meeting together with a restatement of the services and benefits provided by the Orbis Partnership. The Committee asked if it would be possible to have a report on Orbis at the November Audit Committee meeting.

work programme on the Modernising Back Office Systems programme and in particular	's
	ar
regarding the assurance needed on matters such as the production of the statutory	
accounts. The Chief Operating Officer will liaise with the Democratic Services team or	า
the timescale for this report.	

9.5	The Committee RESOLVED to move the November meeting to Friday 6
Nover	mber 2020, and note the Work Programme together with the amendments made to
it in pa	aragraphs 9.3 and 9.4 above.

The meeting ended at 11.18 am.

Councillor Colin Swansborough (Chair)